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Abstract:
The lattice mismatch between the \( \gamma \) and \( \gamma' \) phases and the
tetragonal distortion of the lattice cells of single crystal specimens of
the nickel-based superalloy AM1 have been measured respectively
by high resolution neutron diffraction and \( \gamma \)-ray diffraction
techniques. Both temperature evolution on heating and time
evolution during annealing of the diffraction profiles were analysed.
The misfit depends on the precipitates morphology and is not an
intrinsic property of the material. Tetragonal distortions of the \( \gamma \)
phase have been evidenced and are mainly related to internal
stresses at the \( \gamma - \gamma' \) interfaces. A transition from an elastic
accommodation to a plastic relaxation was experimentally observed
at the \( \gamma - \gamma' \) interfaces. To interpret the temperature evolution of the
misfit and of the distortion of the cubic cells, a model is proposed
for the evolution of the lattice parameters of the two phases. Similar
description explains the temperature behaviour of the lattice
parameters of the CMSX-2 superalloy showing that \( \gamma - \gamma' \) lattice
mismatch is negative at room temperature.

Introduction:
The value and the sign of the lattice parameter mismatch \( \delta \) between
the \( \gamma \) and \( \gamma' \) phases of single crystal superalloys are often
considered as comparison criteria between superalloy species. Their
influence on the directional coarsening under stress at high
temperature is very important as the shape and the size of the
precipitates are strongly dependent on the misfit [1, 2]. However
the determination of the lattice parameter mismatch usually defined
as \( \delta = 2 \left( a_{\gamma'} - a_{\gamma} \right) / \left( a_{\gamma} + a_{\gamma'} \right) \), has been the subject of
numerous controversies and its measurement at high temperature
(the using temperature of these alloys) is always an open and
difficult question [3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. This is due to the facts that :
- \( \delta \) is small (\( \delta = 10^{-3} \))
- internal stresses at the interfaces between matrix and precipitates
  modify the lattice parameters and distort the lattice cell. It is
  important to notice that difference must be done between lattice
  parameters of isolated phases and of the real biphasic materials
  [10]. Furthermore tetragonal distortion has been also reported for
  some superalloys [11, 12, 13].

In order to determine the origin of these controversies, systematic
study of temperature dependence of the misfit and of the tetragonal
distortion was undertaken. The influence of the thermomechanical
history of the sample was also analysed. In situ experiments were
performed in bulk samples using neutron and \( \gamma \)-ray radiations in
order to avoid perturbation related to quenching, to oxidation or
surface relaxation and also in order to average over dendritic and
interdendritic regions.

Experimental procedure:
High resolution neutron diffraction experiments were carried out for
determination of the lattice parameter distribution using a two nearly
parallel crystal arrangement. This set up allows to minimize the
instrumental contribution to the broadening of the diffraction peaks
[14] and it becomes possible to operate at large Bragg angle (= 80°)
in order to increase the sensitivity. Experiments were carried out on
the Institut Laue Langevin (ILL, France) facility S21. Special cares
and detailed procedure used in analysing the superalloy data are
given in [15]. More details concerning the experimental conditions
of the measurements reported in this paper are given in [7].

\( \gamma \)-ray diffractometry is usually used to measure the mosaicity of the
sample [16]. In the particular case of single crystal superalloys a
special feature occurs related to the cuboidal morphology of the
precipitates. The full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the
reflections (200) and (220) should have the same value if the lattice
cells are cubic. The measured values are different and reflect a
tetragonal distortion of the cells, the tetragonal axis being equivalently distributed along the three <h00> axes. The principle of these non standard measurements is fully described in [13]. The value of the tetragonal distortion is related to the difference \( \delta = \text{FWHM}(220) - \text{FWHM}(200) \). In the present study measurements were performed on the \( \gamma \)-ray diffractometer of ILL with a radioactive gold source (\( \lambda = 0.03 \) \( \text{Å} \)).

**Materials:**

This paper focuses on AM1 single crystal superalloy. Similar studies have been done on CMSX-2 and are in agreement with results reported below. The composition of these two superalloys are given in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Co</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Mo</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Ta</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AM1</td>
<td>balance</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMSX-2</td>
<td>balance</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two different types of samples were studied:
- crept sample (140 MPa, 1050°C, \( \varepsilon = 0.58\% \)) with rafted precipitates
- reference samples cut in an "as cast" bar. Samples selected (\( \phi = 3 \) mm, \( h = 10 \) mm for \( \gamma \)-ray diffraction experiment and 10\( \times \)15\( \times \)3 mm\(^3\) for neutron experiments) were chosen for their low mosaicity (typically 10 min of arc).

Measurements were performed
- on the crept sample for increasing temperature from room temperature up to 1300°C (temperature of complete solutionizing of the \( \gamma' \) phase), and then for decreasing temperature. Two different directions were analysed, parallel and perpendicular to the rafting plane.
- on reference samples with different initial thermal history
  - as cast
  - homogenized (1300°C/30min)
  - heat treated (as homogenized + 1050°C/16 h) for increasing and decreasing temperature and during annealing at chosen temperatures between 1000°C and 1250°C after a complete solutionizing of the \( \gamma' \) phase (1300°C/30min).

\( \gamma \)-ray and neutron rocking curves were recorded in less than 20 minutes. This duration is short enough compared with the microstructure evolution of the superalloy.

**Results:**

Measurements at room temperature on the crept sample reveal a first surprise. The value of the misfit depends on the crystallographic direction. Its value is \( +3.3 \times 10^{-3} \) along the <002> axis perpendicular to the rafts and is \( -1.4 \times 10^{-3} \) along the <200> and <020> axis parallel to the rafts. For the reference sample the misfit value is the same for the three <h00> directions and is negative, close to zero in the case of the homogenized sample. These facts are sufficient to explain the controversies about the misfit values given in the literature. The value and even the sign of the misfit depend on the thermomechanical history of the sample. The same measurements were performed in temperature up to complete solutionizing of the \( \gamma' \) phase. Figure 1 reports the results for the crept sample (a) and the homogenized reference one (b). A detailed analysis of these results is given in [7].

\[
\delta = \frac{a' - a}{a} \times 10^3
\]

\[
\Delta d = \frac{d}{d'} \times 10^3
\]

Figure 1: Temperature dependence of (a) lattice mismatch for the crept sample parallel (200) and perpendicular (002) to the rafts and (b) difference between the largest and the smallest lattice parameters for the homogenized reference sample.
The most striking observation concerns the temperature behaviour of the misfit. It is hugely dependent on the morphology of $\gamma'$ precipitates and on the crystallographic direction analysed. However, we have shown that a crystallographic quantity almost independent of the history of the sample can be found in considering the value of the misfit averaged over the three cube directions:

$$<\delta> = \frac{1}{3} (\delta_{200} + \delta_{020} + \delta_{002}) = \frac{1}{3} \Delta V / V$$

where $\Delta V / V$ is the relative change in unit cell volume between the two phases.

After solutionizing of the $\gamma'$ phase at high temperature and precipitation, the anisotropy of the diffraction pattern for the crept sample is lost; it "has forgotten" its mechanical and thermal history, from the lattice parameter point of view.

$\gamma$-ray diffraction measurements were performed in the same temperature range. The FWHM of the (200) and (220) reflections are reported in figure 2 for the homogenized reference sample.

Below 900°C a constant difference $2\varepsilon$ of about 1 minute of arc is observed. For higher temperature, this difference increases, reaches a maximum close to 3 minutes of arc at 1150°C and then decreases and disappears with the complete solutionizing of the $\gamma'$ phase.

![Figure 2: Behaviour versus temperature of the FWHM of the $\gamma$-ray rocking curves for the (200) and (220) reflections in the case of the homogenized reference sample](image)

![Figure 3: Time evolution of the neutron profile for annealing at different temperature](image)
The decreasing of the $2\varepsilon$ value also occurs at 1050°C but much slower as seen in figure 5. At this temperature the characteristic time would be of the order of few hundred hours. These observations show that the lattice parameter spreading and the tetragonal distortion are related to internal stresses due to the $\gamma-\gamma'$ phase interfaces. During annealing a relaxation of these internal stresses occurs. Each phase tends to recover its cubic structure; the coherency of the interfaces disappears progressively.

**Discussion and interpretation:**

Due to the internal stresses at the $\gamma-\gamma'$ interface, induced by the negative lattice mismatch, the cuboidal precipitates are triaxially expanded while the $\gamma$ corridors are rather biaxially compressed due to their platelet shape. So in a first approximation, one can assume that the unit cell of the $\gamma'$ phase remains cubic and that of the $\gamma$ phase becomes tetragonal explaining the distortion observed by $\gamma$-ray diffractometry at room temperature. On heating, the volume of the unit cell of the $\gamma$ phase increases faster than that of the $\gamma'$ phase [7]; so the internal stresses increase too. The lattice coherency is maintained at the interface until a threshold temperature, between 900°C and 1000°C and no significant change is observed both on the diffraction profile and for the $2\varepsilon$ values. Above this threshold, the elastic accommodation is progressively replaced by a plastic relaxation provided by incoming dislocations in an attempt to reduce interfacial stresses. As shown by the annealing measurements the relaxation rate depends strongly on the temperature. So in fact when the rate is slow, this plastic relaxation does not occur simultaneously on the six faces of each precipitate but sequentially and at random. This explains the rapid increase of both $\Delta d/d$ and the tetragonal distortion observed during the analysis of increasing temperature up to 1150°C, because some interfaces are relaxed and some others not. This relaxation becomes faster and faster when the annealing temperature becomes higher. This explains why above 1150°C the plastic relaxation is complete, the unit cells of the $\gamma$ and $\gamma'$ phases recover their cubic structure. The tetragonal distortion disappears while $\Delta d/d$ continue to increase (figure 6 (b3)). This interpretation is confirmed by the observations made during the annealings. The progressive vanishing of the tetragonal distortion and the better resolution of the two peaks in the neutron diffraction profiles are in accordance with the plastic relaxation of interfacial stresses. Below 1150°C the relaxation time is large and only a partial relaxation is observed. This fact is due to the slowness of the process. For a given interface only one direction is relaxed (figure 6 (b2)) leading to a large tetragonal distortion which decreases with annealing time. This temperature evolution of the lattice parameters explains why it is not possible to attribute a priori one diffraction peak to one phase.

Several other observations are consistent with this description. Indeed, the existence of partial relaxation at intermediate temperatures is compatible with the observation, after long time annealing, of periodic dislocation networks on some of the interfaces [18]. This may also explain the occurrence of the “bamboo” texture reported in the CMSX-2 after a heat treatment of 1000h at 1000°C [12], the oriented coalescence of the precipitates being favoured by the presence, locally, of a particular set of dislocations [19, 20].

**Conclusion:**

From these high resolution neutron diffraction and $\gamma$-ray diffraction measurements on reference and crept samples, the following remarks and conclusions can be drawn:

- The thermomechanical history and then the morphology of the $\gamma'$ precipitates is an important parameter to be taken into account for measurements of lattice parameter mismatch. Mismatch measured only along one crystallographic direction does not provide intrinsic characteristic for a particular family of superalloy. But the “average” misfit for the three <h00> directions is almost independent of the morphology of precipitates and then can be
chosen as a relevant parameter for a structural characterisation. For alloy AMI, it is close to zero at room temperature (slightly negative) and becomes negative on heating due to the larger thermal expansion of the γ phase compared to that of the γ' phase.

- A qualitative model explaining the temperature behaviour of the lattice parameters during heating and annealing is given. The transition from purely elastic accommodation to a progressively plastic relaxation provided by the development of regular dislocation networks at the matrix-precipitate interfaces has been demonstrated. The previously observed distortion of the cubic unit cells and the shape of neutron diffraction profiles are correctly interpreted by this model which is coherent with previous electron microscopy observations.

Annex:

Similar experiments made on the CMSX-2 single crystal superalloy have shown that the lattice parameters have the same behaviours as those of the AMI superalloy. The same mechanism is involved for the stress relaxation. The average misfit measured is negative from room temperature to the temperature of the complete γ' phase solutionizing. Its value is -1.4 $10^{-3}$ at room temperature and decreases to -3.0 $10^{-3}$ at 1200°C. In opposition to the usually admitted misfit sign at room temperature, the measured value is negative and then there is no inversion of the misfit sign around 800°C. Properties of the material in this temperature range cannot be related to a null value of the misfit. This negative value at room temperature suggested in [7] has been confirmed by recent X-ray measurements performed on a Philips MRD diffractometer [21].
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