Abstract

A high integrity dual alloy disk (DAD) process was demonstrated under Navy contract funding (N00140-89-C-WC14). The forge enhanced bonding (FEB) joining process utilized for DAD manufacture demonstrated metallurgically clean bonds during scale up from test specimens through full scale disks. Use of advanced development alloys KM4 and SR3 for the dual alloy disk yielded mechanical properties meeting the program goals. Tensile strengths in excess of 1378 MPa (200 ksi) were achieved at 649°C (1200°F) with creep capability demonstrated at up to 760°C (1400°F). Mechanical testing across the FEB joint resulted in failures in base metal (not the joint) with strengths/lives equivalent to base metal properties, confirming joint integrity.

Technical Overview

A major challenge for any rotor system is to meet the overall goals of conflicting bore and rim mechanical property requirements. The bore requirements are driven strongly by tensile and lower temperature LCF requirements. The rim, however, requires creep and high temperature crack growth characteristics that meet the demands of this region of the disk. As rim temperatures increase, these demands become increasingly difficult to reconcile and challenge the metallurgist for a creative solution. A dual alloy approach is one that uniquely allows the bore and rim composition and microstructure to be tailored to meet the design requirements. GEAE’s dual alloy disk process was further developed and demonstrated on Navy contract funding (N00140-89-C-WC14) as a means to meet the demands of advanced disk requirements.

Figure 1. Process schematic of dual alloy disk manufacture via forge enhanced bonding. A high integrity bond is assured by expelling joint material outside the component envelope.

Table 1. Nominal chemistries of alloys KM4 and SR3. These alloys were chosen as the baseline alloys for dual alloy disk manufacture.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element (wt%)</th>
<th>KM4</th>
<th>SR3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molybdenum</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titanium</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neobium</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon</td>
<td>0.05%</td>
<td>0.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zirconium</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boron</td>
<td>0.04%</td>
<td>0.015%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niobium</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Gamma prime</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The first area of modeling was the actual FEB process. It has been shown in the past that nickel base alloys similar to those in this study can exhibit abnormal or non homogeneous grain growth during supersolvus heat treatment, and this has been related to non superplastic deformation during isothermal forging. Since the intent was to supersolvus anneal the dual alloy disk, it was critical to determine the temperature/strain rate conditions that would render the alloys superplastic during both isothermal forging and the subsequent FEB operation. As input to the FEM model of this process the specific heat, thermal conductivity, diffusivity, and coefficient of thermal expansion values were determined for each alloy as a function of temperature. In general these properties showed only a small variation between the alloys of this program. To define local strain rates required for superplasticity, flow stress specimens were used to establish the degree of superplasticity as a function of strain rate and temperature. The resultant flow stress data obtained in the range of 1093 to 1038°C (2000 to 1500°F) was used to establish target strain rates at or below about 0.01/second or 0.6/minute.

The alloy deformation behavior was used along with forging input data to develop local strain rate histories for the subscale and full scale FEB operations. The forging input data included forging ram strain rate, friction, and heat transfer between the die and work piece. A typical FEM output is shown in Figure 2. Although the basic ram strain rate is 0.1/minute or 0.0017/second, the local strain rates in the FEB cavity can reach much higher strain rates, in this case up to 0.04/second. This information was used in a comparative manner by relating the resultant strain rates to the actual strain rates in the forging die cavity. The forging flow stress for the subscale plane strain specimens was also used to establish a full scale FEB cavity design. The conclusion was that a successful joint could be made. Tests were performed with a symmetric FEB angle and 2X and 3X FEB operations and the results are shown in Table II.

FEB process modeling using ALPID was also used to examine the sixteen different cavity geometry's in a design of experiments study to establish correlation's and limitations between cavity parameters and FEB efficiency (as measured by the percent bond line removed per unit volume of metal expelled, and die stress). The forging inputs used and the draft angles to ensure part removal were based on prior experience. Prior FEM analysis indicated the symmetric die cavity design to be most efficient in terms of percent bond line removed per unit volume of metal expelled into the die cavity. As a result the study was based on a symmetric FEB cavity design.

A step-wise regression analysis was performed to establish the significance of correlation's between cavity parameters and percent bond line removed. Additionally, the effect of cavity geometry on forging load, die stresses, and part removal from the die was also considered in determining the most effective die cavity geometry. The conclusion was that for a given cavity volume, the most efficient bond line removal was a function of both the cavity width and entrance radius to the cavity and that die stresses and forging loads were compatible with the desired high degree of bondline material expulsion.

FEB process modeling using ALPID was also carried out on a full scale high pressure turbine disk geometry to study the effect of using boron and rim alloys with different flow stresses on the resultant FEB process. The objective was to evaluate the robustness of the FEB process and determine if FEB could be safely used to bond materials with flow stresses varying 22.5X, in this case, 6 and 16 ksi. The results of the model, whether the high flow stress material was the bore or rim, was that a successful joint could be made. The interface shapes within the die stresses and forging loads were compatible with the desired high degree of bondline material expulsion.

Results of prior work had examined the effects of preform joint preparation, canning and sealing the assembled preforms and actual FEB cycles on the final bond integrity. These studies were used to select improved preform joining techniques for the program. The effectiveness of the FEB process in bond line cleanup was substantiated by bonding oxidized preforms (not cleaned or canned) in multiple FEB operations.

Test results using plane strain forging showed that even with preoxidized unbonded preforms, the FEB process can achieve excellent clean up and a sound joint. Tests were performed with a symmetric FEB angle and 2X and 3X FEB operations and the results are shown in Table II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial State</th>
<th>Joint Angle</th>
<th>No. of FEB Steps</th>
<th>UTS Mpa</th>
<th>0.2% YS Mpa</th>
<th>Elong %</th>
<th>RA %</th>
<th>Failure Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oxidized</td>
<td>0ºF</td>
<td>2X</td>
<td>1359</td>
<td>1104</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>Bond Line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxidized</td>
<td>0ºF</td>
<td>3X</td>
<td>1580</td>
<td>1111</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>Bond Line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxidized</td>
<td>45º</td>
<td>2X</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1192</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Bond Line</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II. Test results on pre-oxidized FEB coupons. Excellent bonding is demonstrated even with this gross level of intentional bond line contamination.

Figure 2. Mathematical model (upper) and physical verification (lower) of FEB material flow. Excellent agreement is demonstrated validating the model fidelity even with a ± 2.5X differential in material flow stresses.

The 0º (vertical) FEB cavity was determined to be an effective joint angle in expelling the material at the original interface. The process
ends itself to rework or component salvage via use of a 3X FEB versus a 2X FEB or 1X FEB if the interface is severely oxidized. This should not be required in routine production but rework potential is a necessary consideration in any production environment. It was determined that bondline quality can be deduced from the quality of the material expelled into the FEB cavity; if the expelled bondline material is contamination free, the internal joint will be also.

### Full scale FEB Modeling

The FEB cavity location and dimensions for the full scale forgings were finalized at 24.1 cm (9.5") radial location. This selection was based on the subscale modeling results, plane strain and mini disk forging results, and discussions with die design personnel.

To ease part removal from the die after FEB and minimize stress concentration at the cavity root, a decision was made to build segmented dies for the full scale forgings. This required the parting of the die block at the cavity center line and the fitting of a separate die block insert. The value of such design was demonstrated in the mini disk forging trials and in full scale die stress analysis. Modeling indicated that a fully segmented design could cause significant radial displacement and, plastic deformation of the top die punch where it contacts the bottom die along the die wall. Based on the analysis a decision was made to use a partially segmented top die and a fully segmented bottom die. A cross section of the top and bottom dies is shown in Figure 3. Finite element modeling of the FEB process is illustrated in Figure 4.

![Figure 3. Cross section of the die stack finite element model. This portion of the study was used to verify the acceptability of the preferred segmented die concept.](image)

![Figure 4. Finite element model results at the start of the FEB operation. This model was used to validate the desired joint flow characteristics.](image)

The pre FEB shape is shown in Figure 4, and the final effective strains in the FEB area are shown in Figure 5. These models compared the predicted deformation of the full scale disk with the subscale mini disk and the plane strain forgings. The results indicated there would be sufficient preform interface removal and residual strain in the bondline to produce a clean bond. The analysis confirmed the subscale coupon study findings that 82% of the original bond line would be removed in one FEB operation and 95% would be removed by two FEB operations.

![Figure 5. Finite element model results for the FEB operation. The predicted strains were utilized to assure desired material flow in the joint region was achieved](image)

### Heat Treat Modeling

The objective of this phase of the study was to evaluate the feasibility of a standard supersolvus heat treatment to meet bore, rim, and joint property goals without causing quench cracking, excessive residual stress, and/or abnormal grain growth. Heat transfer and thermal stress FEM modeling was used in conjunction with experimental trials to evaluate the alternate techniques.

A focus of FEM HT modeling was the solution annealing quench process. Before thermal modeling in the full scale high pressure turbine disk (HPTD), the NIKE/TOPAZ17,18 computer programs from Lawrence Livermore Laboratories were used to model several simpler configurations in order to validate these programs for this application. The stress distributions and deformations in cylinders under the action of internal and external pressures, with and without interference, with and without thermal gradients were modeled. The results were in good agreement with the exact closed-form analytical solutions. With the programs thus validated, the full scale thermal modeling was initiated.

As a class these high strength, high gamma prime content alloys typified by SR3 and KM4 are prone to thermal stress cracking upon cooling from the supersolvus anneal13. This occurs because the thermal stress generated near the surface of a part during cooling due to the extreme thermal gradients exceeds the tensile strength of the alloy at a point when it also has a low ductility. On cooling tensile testing for the two alloys was performed to determine limits for quench rates. This data established the relative strength and ductility at various temperatures as the alloy cools from solution annealing and provided a comparative limit versus quench related stresses predicted by modeling. This approach has been previously described13.

Preliminary studies had indicated that a minimum cooling rate of about 121°C (250°F)/minute was needed for the range of 1093 to 816°C (2000 to 1500°F) to meet the strength and creep goals. It was shown that as the cooling rate increases the hold time fatigue crack growth rate of the alloys tends to increase, but this varies with alloy. Tensile and creep properties have also been linked to quench rate variations19. It was also shown that residual stresses increase with increase in cooling rate, so the cooling rate distribution in the part must be kept in control.
Preliminary trials were conducted using a thermocoupled high pressure turbine disk of the approximate shape as the full scale dual alloy disk to determine what cooling rates could be expected with normal type quenchants. One such trial used a cycle of heating the disk to 1204°C (2200°F) in a furnace, transferring to a high velocity fan facility in 15 seconds, cooling in the fan for 90 seconds, transferring to an oil quench tank in 15 seconds, and oil quenching to room temperature. The cooling rates at the rim center and bore center were established in particular for the range of 1093 to 816°C (2000 to 1500°F) as shown in Figure 6. The cooling rates for the bore locations varied from 111-183°C (200-330°F)/minute and the rim values ranged from 111-444°C (200-800°F)/minute for this particular trial.

As noted the cooling rates increased to very high values towards the surface. Although the required nominal 121°C (250°F)/min quench rates could be met a particular concern was the high surface quench rates approaching 427°C (800°F)/min. Heat treat analysis and physical trials established that this quench rate would result in stresses that would exceed the material strength and cause thermal quench cracking. Thus cooling from the supersolvus temperature must be controlled to avoid thermal cracking problems. Prior work suggested that this surface quench rate/high stress condition could be mitigated by canning the part to reduce near surface quench rates. This was verified by both FEM and coupon trials to substantiate selection of the final detailed heat treat parameters. With quench cracking risk minimized the basic heat treat approach taken was similar to that outlined in Figure 6. Also the heat treat fixture designed specifically for the part has supports which were designed to minimize creep of the part at high temperature and eliminate any possible dead zone where oil might be trapped during the oil quench and provide an slower than desired quench.

The cooling tensile data along with FEM enabled the selection of the cooling rate necessary to satisfy mechanical property requirements while avoiding thermal stress. The effect of solution temperature and time on grain size, and incipient melting was studied taking into consideration that the normal production furnaces are presently capable of a tolerance of ±8°C (15°F). This data along with the on cooling temperature profiles and the resulting thermal stresses from FEM modeling allowed the design of the air, fan, and oil quench procedure in combination with canning the surface of the part with stainless steel to obtain desired mechanical properties without thermal cracking the part.

Figure 6. Heat treat trial results simulating the combination static air/fan air/oil quench procedure. This data is representative of the final route chosen for dual alloy disk manufacture.

Figure 7. Metallurgical cross sections through the joint region of the dual alloy disk. The structures observed confirmed the high degree of integrity or joint cleanliness as predicted by prior modeling.
Demonstration Disk Manufacture

The general process used by Wm. Gordon in making the full scale disks is illustrated in Figure 1. Bore and rim preforms were isothermally forged. Fabrication of the near net shape can used during the FEB operation was performed by spinning over a mandrel. Using a template of the dimensions of the preform, the can was dimensionally inspected. After thorough cleaning the rim was lowered on to the bore and the assembly was lowered into the bottom can. The assembly was placed on to a welding turn table and the bottom can placed on the assembly. First the can was evacuated through the fill stem and then the desired vacuum in the interface was maintained by crimping the fill stem. The assembly was then heated and moved into the isothermal press, the joint isothermally forged, and the finished forging ejected from the FEB dies with the knockout.

After each of the FEB operations both the top and bottom ribs (or expelled joint material) were sectioned and examined metallographically for joint integrity. Typically this examination was done at the top and base of the rib, and was shown to be a highly sensitive nondestructive measure of bond integrity. For assurance this prototype part was 2X FEB'ed to insure joint integrity. In addition to removing the ribs, preparation for heat treat included machining a four inch diameter center hole into the disk. The disk was recoated with a stainless steel near net shape can followed by a HIP cycle to make the assembly fully integral. The solution anneal was at 1188°C (2170°F) for 2 hours, with a transfer to a high velocity fan and fan cooling. This step was followed by a transfer to an oil quench bath and the forging was oil quenched to room temperature. Several dry runs were made with the equipment and procedures that culminated in successful heat treatment of the final demonstration disk per the intended practice.

After can removal and fluorescent die inspection for surface cracks the disk was then sectioned for full structural inspection and mechanical property determinations. After 2X FEB both the top and bottom of the top rib was clean as shown in Figure 7. The contour of the bond line in the 2X FEB ribs are also shown in Figure 7 along with the micro appearance of the bond line near the base of the top and bottom rib. The location from which the sample was taken as well as the structure at 50X, 200X, and 500X is shown. This established that the desired high integrity, defect free joint was obtained. In all cases the bond was clean and acceptable for finish operations and subsequent evaluation.

Figure 7 shows a picture of a macro slice taken from the DAD. The bond line is linear axially oriented, and in the exact radial location predicted. Figure 8 shows a montage of photographs at about 100X illustrating the grain sizes after heat treatment at various locations in the disk. The grain sizes were all in the desired ASTM 7-9 range. Higher magnification pictures of the structures in the same areas are shown in Figure 8. No large intragranular gamma prime precipitation is seen indicating that the solution temperature was supersolvus as planned. Figure 8 shows the structures of the grain boundaries in the various locations at higher magnification obtained in the high resolution SEM. Grain boundary precipitates of gamma prime, carbides, and borides can be seen in the boundaries, but not copious amounts. This along with the gamma prime size and shape also shown in Figure 8 indicates that the desired cooling rate was obtained during heat treatment.
Figure 9. Micrographs illustrating gamma prime size consistency throughout the dual alloy disk forging. These gamma prime sizes confirmed the aim cooling rates had been met.

The disk was sectioned for mechanical property determinations. Tests were taken in the bore alloy, rim alloy, and across the joint. Figure 10 shows the tensile results relative to the high temperature goals of the program. Figure 10 shows that the rim alloy and the joint exceeded the tensile goals of the program, but the bore alloy was somewhat below goal.

Figure 10. Ultimate tensile strength data for the dual alloy disk. The strength met goals and was similar to single alloy forgings similarly processed. No loss in strength was observed in the joint region.

Figure 11. 0.2% yield strength data for the dual alloy disk. The yield strength met goals and was similar to single alloy forgings similarly processed. No loss in strength was observed in the joint region.

Similar results were obtained with the 0.2% yield strength data. Additionally the notch tensile results indicate that all areas are in a notch strengthened condition.

The creep results relative to the goals are shown in Figure 12. Both the bore and rim creep results exceeded the goals of the program. In all cases where joint material was tested, the failure occurred in the base metal with results within the scatter for the bore alloy and the rim alloy.

The analysis of both the structure and mechanical properties of demonstration disk attests to the capability of the FEB process to produce an excellent dual alloy disk.
Figure 12. 0.2% creep data for dual alloy disk. The creep strength met goals and was similar to single alloy forgings similarly processed. No loss in strength was observed in the joint region.

Summary

A dual alloy disk of SR3 bore-KM4 rim was successfully forged using the FEB process to yield a full scale high integrity dual alloy shape. The established preform preparation process yielded a fully defect free bond line.

The dual alloy disk was successfully heat treated using the baseline treatment developed and incorporation of the canning protection to yield a disk free of thermal stress cracking, and meeting the structures and mechanical properties expected from SR3 and KM4 heat treated in a similar manner.

The combination of FEM modeling and subscale validation of key processing steps greatly accelerated the development effort and reduced the overall program risk.
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