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Abstract

Description of a forging process which relates the fatigue life to microstructure needs to take into account several influencing factors throughout the entire hot-forging process. The calculation of dynamic fatigue strength based on static properties for hot-forged components of superalloy 718, especially at elevated temperatures, is in general not possible.

The main objective of this paper is to present the capability of the ‘Micro Structural Energy Approach’ (MSEA), to determine the influence of different forging parameters on fatigue life, within a valid process window for aerospace components. This MSEA technique is based on extensive experimental data, and considers short-crack growth for damage assessment. The two parameters, viz. mean grain size and factor of heterogeneity, are used to link experimentally obtained life time results with its microstructure. These parameters are also capable of establishing a stable fatigue life prediction tool, by evaluation of the microstructure coupled with the hot-forging process simulation.

Introduction

In the process of finding a link between the microstructure and fatigue life, especially at elevated temperatures, numerous factors which influence the forging process must be taken into account. Static and dynamic recrystallisation, as well as distribution and shape of the delta-precipitates affect the endurable fatigue level [1]. The assessed microstructure can be evaluated by standard methods [2-4], leading to a uniform or bimodal grain distribution. The ASTM grain size $G$, as one-dimensional scalar value, is commonly used to assess the microstructure of the metallographic sections. In the case of profoundly textured parts, such as hot-forged components made of titanium alloy, a time-consuming, cost-extensive three-dimensional microstructural assessment may be necessary to deduce manufacturing process dependent parameters. In this work, the characterization of the superalloy 718 grain distribution is carried out using the MSEA-technique as first introduced in [5]. It enables a two-dimensional assessment of the microstructure, taking into account not only the grain distribution, but also considering the neighborhood of individual as-large-as grains.

A closed concept to generate a microstructural based evaluation method, linking the grain-shape based texture and morphology to fatigue life of hot-forged aerospace components made of superalloy 718, is depicted in Figure 1. The hot-forging process controlled microstructural formation and the local fatigue properties are connected as fatigue link by way of the MSEA parameters. The MSEA-approach was originally developed to afford an enhanced analysis of metallographic sections, taking the grade of bimodality into account. It is based on a two-parametric characterization of the microstructure and grain shape texture. Microstructural information in regard to grain morphology, statistical grain distribution, and as-large-as grains, are assessed simultaneously. The MSEA-approach provides two parameters for each evaluated metallographic section. Firstly, the mean grain size – expressed by the ASTM number $G$ or the microstructural energy parameter $e$ in terms of the MSEA-method – and secondly, the novel factor of heterogeneity $b$. Extensive experimental tests supported the construction of a microstructural dependent fatigue model using these two MSEA-parameters $e$ and $b$ for superalloy 718 [5]. Previous investigations have proven the statistical applicability of the MSEA-method and the sensitivity of the two parameters to identify the microstructure of hot-forged superalloy 718.

Figure 1: Key steps in evaluating fatigue behavior of hot-forged superalloy 718 parts at design stage

To close the gap to the numerical design stage, the two numerical parameters $e$ and $b$ were derived by the use of advanced hot-forging simulation tools [6]. The two MSEA-parameters $e$ and $b$ establish a closed design loop to connect the local fatigue behavior and the local hot-forging process properties for superalloy 718 parts.
Survey of Microstructural Characterization

The ‘Micro Structural Energy Approach’ benchmarks the microstructure in an alternative way supporting a two-parametric characterization technique as follows. The microstructural fatigue life mainly depends on the mode and occurrence of crack growth channels on slip bands. The fast single slip crack growth or the slower double slip crack growth can occur inside the grain, depending on the crystallographic orientation and dislocation appearance [7]. Once the crack tip reaches a boundary, a comparatively high energy input is needed to overcome this barrier. Owing to this crack growth, a plane description of shape and contiguity of the individual grains is used as fracture mechanical background.

The first output of the MSEA, the microstructural energy parameter \( e \), is linked to the average ASTM grain size \( G \). On the other hand, the second output, the factor of heterogeneity \( b \), is a numerical representation of the degree of bimodality, focusing on coarse grains taking the adjacency matrix into account. Figure 2 displays the relation between standard ASTM grain size \( G \) and the microstructural energy \( e \), which in principal, corresponds to the reciprocal grain diameter. The relation between \( G \) and \( e \) can be derived as exponential function [6].

![Figure 2: ASTM grain size \( G \) and equivalent diameter related to the ‘synthetic’ microstructural energy \( e \)](image)

The microstructural energy parameter \( e \) varies between two and one-hundred forty-five, whereas the corresponding grain size number \( G \) lies in between seven and fourteen. The microstructural parameter \( e \) is inversely proportional to the equivalent circle diameter; i.e., a microstructural energy value of one-hundred and twenty leads to a grain diameter of about four microns. The MSEA-parameter \( e \) is sensitive to fine-grained microstructures and is therefore most suitable for hot-forging applications. For easier customer identification, the ASTM grain number \( G \) or the equivalent diameter \( D_m \) can be used instead.

The relation between both MSEA-parameters \( e \) and \( b \) and the underlying microstructure is illustrated in Figure 3. The images exhibit a mean ASTM grain size of \( G = 11.5 \) but show distinct differences in individual grain size, distribution, and adjacency matrix. This leads to a comparably huge variation in the heterogeneity. The higher the factor of heterogeneity, the more bimodal is the evaluated microstructure. The innovative factor of heterogeneity \( b \) describes the amount and connectivity of as-large-as grains. This factor can therefore not be easily replaced by the standard deviation of the evaluated particle-based grain distribution.

![Figure 3: Triangulated MSEA-beam elements and particle based grain size information of two metallographic sections](image)

To characterize the microstructure of metallographic sections using the automated microstructural energy approach, two major steps are necessary. Firstly, a particle analysis must be accomplished. The principal output of this step is a table containing geometric shape properties of each detected particle. Only a few independent geometric grain properties are used for further processing [8]. The linear independent grain properties were identified by principal-component-analysis. The human-machine-interface covering the particle-based grain analysis, as well as an evaluated MSEA-result, is displayed in Figure 4. The application is implemented in the software package AnalySiS® as user-defined modules. AnalySiS provides the tools for grain detection, and for assessment of the individual grain properties. The photo taken from the metallographic section must be cleaned from twins, carbides, and huge delta-phase particles, to facilitate grain boundary detection.

![Figure 4: Interface of the MSEA-tool for automated characterization of metallographic sections](image)

Secondly, the evaluated planar grain distribution is automatically transferred into a triangulated beam-element mesh using equivalent geometric properties as local stiffness parameters. Finally, load is applied uniformly on this synthetic matrix, which
leads to a characteristic distribution of the evaluated synthetic
distortion energy. The statistical interpretation of this energy
curve leads to the two MSEA-parameters: microstructural
energy $e$ – as mean value – and factor of heterogeneity $b$ as degree
of inequality of the investigated grain distribution. The
implemented core of the MSEA-module consists of compiled
user-defined Matlab®-procedures. These executables cover
meshing of the synthetic microstructure, call of the linear-elastic
finite element solver, and the subsequent post-processing routines
to determine the MSEA-parameters.
Both MSEA-parameters ‘synthetic’ microstructural energy $e$ and
factor of heterogeneity $b$ define further on the parametric link
between hot-forging process and dynamic test results of
superalloy 718.

Fatigue Assessment

Assessment of the fatigue behavior can be done using stress-
or strain-based approaches. At the time of conception of the MSEA-
method, most of the dynamic tests were done at room temperature
and under stress control [5]. During the subsequent stages of
improvement in the development cycle, results from fatigue tests
of specimen cut-up from aerospace components were included [6].
These strain-controlled, low-cycle-fatigue tests
covered both ambient and elevated temperatures up to 650 °C.
The description of the $\varepsilon$-N-curves was done in accordance to the
four-parametric Mansin-Coffin-law. Equation 1 denotes the total
strain amplitude $\varepsilon_a$ which consists of elastic $\varepsilon_{a,el}$ and plastic
part $\varepsilon_{a,pl}$.

$$
\varepsilon_a = \varepsilon_{a,el} + \varepsilon_{a,pl} = \frac{\sigma_f'}{E}(2N)^b + \varepsilon_f'(2N)^b \quad (1)
$$

The elastic strain amplitude depends on the fatigue coefficient $\sigma_f'$,
the young modulus $E$, and the fatigue exponent $b$. The plastic
strain is determined by the ductility coefficient $\varepsilon_f'$ and the cyclic
ductility exponent $c$. In the case of hot-forging process, it has to be
kept in mind that each parameter is influenced by the grain size
and the temperature. Further on, gamma prime precipitations and
carbides lead to a significant precipitation strengthening at
elevated temperatures [1].

At this stage, a neural network consisting of two-layers is used to
assess the $\varepsilon$-N-relationship. The corresponding layout is shown in
Figure 5. The network was trained with strain-controlled fatigue
test results covering a temperature range from 20 °C to 650 °C.
The stress based test values were converted into the strain range
taking both the mean stress ratio and the stress gradient into
account [6].

The neural network offers satisfying correlation behavior within
its data point limits. Figure 6 shows the achieved regression
between the target values and the output strain amplitude. A two-
tailed test leads to the Pearson regression value of 0.991. The
95%-confidence interval for the linear fit is also sketched into the
diagram. Additionally, the 95%-prediction range covering the data
points is plotted.

Simulation Chain

The hot-forging process can already be simulated at design stage
using advanced simulation tools. The abbreviated flowchart is
shown in Figure 1. The extended simulation chain, split up into
several major tasks, is shown in Figure 7. It is structured into
different modules which perform user-specific tasks.
The metal forming simulation tool Deform® defines the basic
software. Substantial extensions with regard to grain growth
evolution were added as Fortran®-subroutines to implement the
microstructural model introduced by Stockinger [5]. The output
parameters of the microstructural energy approach, $e$ and $b$, are
used as linking values to achieve a closed simulation chain.
The mean ASTM grain size is directly available as output variable by the implemented user-defined microstructural model [9], and only needs slight accommodation to fit the process chain thoroughly. This can be explained by the fact that the simulated ASTM grain size represents the mean value and not the scatter band within the grains. The achieved value is named $e^*$. This adaptation was first introduced in [6].

$$e^*(G_{avg}, X(t), \phi(t)) = \frac{e(G_{avg})}{f_1(X(t), \phi(t))}$$ (2)

Effort has to be taken to derive the factor of heterogeneity $b^*$ from the microstructural simulation process parameters. Local recrystallization behavior influences the occurrence of coarse grain structures and hence, has to be taken into consideration.

$$b^*(\Delta D(t), X(t), \phi(t)) = C_3 \frac{f_1(X(t), \phi(t))}{f_2(\Delta D(t))}$$ (3)

In addition, the simulation-step-based growth in local grain size also influences the bimodality. Equations 2 and 3 reveal the implemented equations [6]. Although the current simulation model is adjusted to the experimental test results at customer-defined points, it is scheduled to incorporate constitutive models also, especially in regard to the factor of heterogeneity.

Forging process simulation includes, owing to the large displacements, a couple of re-meshing steps. This is somewhat challenging when the time-dependent distribution of numerous properties is necessary outside the hosting simulation program. To support the availability of the element-based forging results, including change in microstructure even outside Deform®, data extraction of the element-based results into text files was done first. These files were analyzed by user-defined Matlab®-routines, which convert the whole numerical forging process results into binary databases. To translate the ASCII-results to the binary result format, specific Altair-Hyperwork® C-libraries were used. This enables the use of Altair-HyperView® player to inspect the results or include them into presentation slides for customer visualization. Due to the fact that the ASCII export within Deform is based on elemental properties, only one integration point covers the elemental results. This coarsens the time-dependent elemental results a bit, but the general behavior is unchanged. Figure 8 shows the change in the simulated grain diameter $D_m$ of a hot-forged turbine disc at three characteristic points.

$$e^*(G_{avg}, X(t), \phi(t)) = \frac{e(G_{avg})}{f_1(X(t), \phi(t))}$$ (2)

Effort has to be taken to derive the factor of heterogeneity $b^*$ from the microstructural simulation process parameters. Local recrystallization behavior influences the occurrence of coarse grain structures and hence, has to be taken into consideration.

$$b^*(\Delta D(t), X(t), \phi(t)) = C_3 \frac{f_1(X(t), \phi(t))}{f_2(\Delta D(t))}$$ (3)

In addition, the simulation-step-based growth in local grain size also influences the bimodality. Equations 2 and 3 reveal the implemented equations [6]. Although the current simulation model is adjusted to the experimental test results at customer-defined points, it is scheduled to incorporate constitutive models also, especially in regard to the factor of heterogeneity.

Forging process simulation includes, owing to the large displacements, a couple of re-meshing steps. This is somewhat challenging when the time-dependent distribution of numerous properties is necessary outside the hosting simulation program. To support the availability of the element-based forging results, including change in microstructure even outside Deform®, data extraction of the element-based results into text files was done first. These files were analyzed by user-defined Matlab®-routines, which convert the whole numerical forging process results into binary databases. To translate the ASCII-results to the binary result format, specific Altair-Hyperwork® C-libraries were used. This enables the use of Altair-HyperView® player to inspect the results or include them into presentation slides for customer visualization. Due to the fact that the ASCII export within Deform is based on elemental properties, only one integration point covers the elemental results. This coarsens the time-dependent elemental results a bit, but the general behavior is unchanged. Figure 8 shows the change in the simulated grain diameter $D_m$ of a hot-forged turbine disc at three characteristic points.
discs using the microstructural model according to Stockinger [5]. These characteristic parts are further on used for a case study reflecting the strain-controlled fatigue life versus parameter settings of the hot-forging processes.

Figure 10: Examples of ASTM grain size (left) and total strain (right) distribution of three characteristic turbine discs

As displayed in Figure 7, the ASCII data export not only enables the use of customer dependent post-processing interfaces, but is also necessary to assess the lifetime of the hot-forged component. This is done by applying the introduced neural network onto the elemental and time-dependent microstructural simulation results. The implementation was again done as Matlab® code. The assessed strain amplitude depends on operating temperature and number of endurable load cycles. Here, the adapted MSEA-parameters $e^*$ and $b^*$ are used as interface values. Figure 11 depicts the corresponding contour plots for the three investigated discs.

Figure 11: Enhanced microstructural simulation tool results of the three sample turbine discs

The mapping between ASTM grain size and microstructure energy is clearly recognizable. The distribution of the bimodality of the microstructure – assessed by the factor of heterogeneity – is shown in the bottom row subfigures in Figure 11. The regression behavior of assessing the strain amplitude of hot-forged superalloy 718 components by simulation is shown for the three sample discs in Figure 12. The specimens were taken from turbine disc cut-ups and dynamically tested under stabilized strain control. The investigated tests range from 20 °C up to 650 °C.

Figure 12: Examples of ASTM grain size and total strain distribution of three characteristic turbine discs

In addition, the test samples partially exhibited a relatively wide scatter band at selected levels. The $\varepsilon$-N-neural network was fed with the seven input values depicted in Figure 7. Although this $\varepsilon$-N-assessment seems to be non-conservative, it can be used for comparison purposes. It is programmed to test strain-controlled specimens at elevated temperature levels at the test laboratory to narrow the scatter band further on.

Figure 13: Proposed strain by the closed simulation chain for elevated temperature in the LCF and HCF-region (disc-C)
The simulated strain amplitude is related both to the mean grain size and the local bimodality. Figure 13 depicts the corresponding simulated fatigue results for sample disc-C at temperature levels of 150 °C, 455 °C and 650 °C, and compares the endurable strain amplitude at two different load cycles. The legend threshold is fixed to visualize the local change in fatigue at specific operating conditions.

**Case Study**

The simulation chain is closed for hot-forged aerospace components made of superalloy 718. As a significant advantage, this method enables a fatigue sensitivity study of changes in the hot-forging process at design stage itself.

To determine the influence of changes of hot-forging process parameters on a turbine disc, a sensitivity study was done in [11]. Investigated parameters of the hot-forging process were the initial billet temperature, die temperatures, environment temperature during forging process, furnace temperature during heat treatment, friction between dies and work piece, heat transfer between dies and work piece, die speed, resting time, reheating and transfer time for a specific disc.

The sensitivity study with regard to mean grain size brought out the main parameters for the investigated hot-forging process of a turbine disc made of superalloy 718 as follows:

- Free resting time before final pressing
- Pressing after pre-forming transfer
- Billet temperature
- First pre-forming step
- Second pre-forming step
- Final pressing

These key parameters were modified within the recommended hot-forging process limits given in [11]. The subsequent change in endurable strain range is plotted in Figure 14. Investigations showed that the changes are not throughout the part, but concentrated on local regions.

**Conclusion**

The microstructural energy approach supports an alternative description of the microstructure. The microstructural energy parameter $e$ correlates to the ASTM grain size $G$. The factor of heterogeneity $b$ characterizes the amount of both non-equi-axed and large-sized grains in a unique manner without additional analysis work. These microstructural values support the establishment of a closed-loop chain to assess the endurable strain amplitude of hot-forged components at design stage itself.

To determine the influence of changes of hot-forging process parameters on a turbine disc, a sensitivity study was done in [11]. Investigated parameters of the hot-forging process were the initial billet temperature, die temperatures, environment temperature during forging process, furnace temperature during heat treatment, friction between dies and work piece, heat transfer between dies and work piece, die speed, resting time, reheating and transfer time for a specific disc.

The sensitivity study with regard to mean grain size brought out the main parameters for the investigated hot-forging process of a turbine disc made of superalloy 718 as follows:

- Free resting time before final pressing
- Pressing after pre-forming transfer
- Billet temperature
- First pre-forming step
- Second pre-forming step
- Final pressing

These key parameters were modified within the recommended hot-forging process limits given in [11]. The subsequent change in endurable strain range is plotted in Figure 14. Investigations showed that the changes are not throughout the part, but concentrated on local regions.
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